	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/008,610	AEBISCHER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David Guzo	1636
Il Participants: Status of Application: <u>Amended</u>		
(1) <u>David_Guzo</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas J. Kowalski</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 March 2004	Time: <u>10:30 AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: 27		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicants' representative gave permission of an examiner's amendment to claim 27 (to clarify the subject matter claimed) and amendment to the specification to delete embedded hyperlinks on pp. 27, 39 and 42.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
		*
acid Man -		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant)	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)